6

PCT

REC'D 2 0 DEC 2001

PO

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

, ,	_	ent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
C1005/7	008V	VO		Plenning Examination Report (1 on PC17/PEA/410)		
Internation	al appl	ication No.	International filing date (day/month	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
PCT/US	00/26	6020	22/09/2000	23/09/1999		
Internation C12N5/0		ent Classification (IPC) or na	tional classification and IPC			
Applicant CFLL SC	CIEN	CE THERAPEUTICS				
OLLE O						
		ational preliminary exam smitted to the applicant a		by this International Preliminary Examining Authority		
2. This	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
t	een a	mended and are the bas		e description, claims and/or drawings which have ontaining rectifications made before this Authority ons under the PCT).		
,		exes consist of a total of		,		
<u> </u>						
3. This	report	contains indications rela	ating to the following items:			
1	\boxtimes	Basis of the report				
	_	Priority				
111		•	pinion with regard to novelty, inv	entive step and industrial applicability		
IV			•	1		
V	_					
VI	\boxtimes	Certain documents cité	ed			
VII		Certain defects in the in	nternational application			
VIII	×	Certain observations or	n the international application			
Date of sut	omissio	on of the demand	Date of 6	completion of this report		
23/04/20	01		18.12.20	001		
	exam	g address of the international ining authority:	d Authoriz	ed officer		
<u>)</u>))	D-80	opean Patent Office 0298 Munich +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656	Tromm	isdorff, M		
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465			· '	ne No. +49 89 2399 7361		

I.	Bas	is (of '	the	rep	ort
----	-----	------	------	-----	-----	-----

1.	nents of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" of this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):		
	1-34	4	as originally filed
	Cla	ims, No.:	
	1-23	3	as originally filed
	Dra	wings, sheets:	
	1/4-	-4/4	as originally filed
	Sec	uence listing part	of the description, pages:
	1, fi	led with the letter o	f 26.06.01
			guage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	The	se elements were	available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:
		the language of a	translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
		the language of pu	ublication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of a 55.2 and/or 55.3).	translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule
3.		•	eleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the ry examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
	×	contained in the in	iternational application in written form.
		filed together with	the international application in computer readable form.
	\boxtimes	furnished subsequ	uently to this Authority in written form.
	\boxtimes	furnished subsequ	uently to this Authority in computer readable form.
	×		it the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in pplication as filed has been furnished.
	\boxtimes	The statement tha	t the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

listing has been furnished.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US00/26020

		the description,	pages:		
		the claims,	Nos.:		
		the drawings,	sheets:		
5.					ome of) the amendments had not been made, since they have beer as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):
		(Any replacement sh report.)	eet contail	ning such	amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this
6.	Ado	litional observations, i	f necessar	y:	
11.	Pric	prity			
1.	. This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the failure to furnish within the prescribed time limit the requested:				
		☐ copy of the earlie	er applicat	ion whos	e priority has been claimed.
		☐ translation of the	e earlier ap	plication	whose priority has been claimed.
2.		This report has been been found invalid.	establishe	ed as if no	priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has
	Thu date		this report,	the inter	national filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant
3.		litional observations, if separate sheet	f necessar	y:	
٧.					ith regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
		tions and explanatio	ns suppo	rting suc	ch statement
1.	Stat	ement			
	Nov	relty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-23
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-23
	Indu	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-21 22, 23: no opinion
2.		tions and explanations	S		

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Boxes I-VIII, Sheet 2) (July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US00/26020

VI. Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

and / or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made: see separate sheet

1. Cited d1ocum nts

The following documents (D) are referred to in this communication; the numbering is the same as in the search report and will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

- D1: BAGLEY J ET AL: 'EXTENDED CULTURE OF MULTIPOTENT HEMATOPOIETIC PROGENITORS WITHOUT CYTOKINE AUGMENTATION IN A NOVEL THREE-DIMENSIONAL DEVICE' EXPERIMENTAL HEMATOLOGY, US, NEW YORK, NY, vol.27, no.3, March 1999 (1999-03), p.496-504, ISSN: 0301-472X
- D2: CHENG L ET AL: 'HUMAN MESENCHYMAL STEM CELLS SUPPORT PROLIFERATION AND MULTILINEAGE DIFFERENTIATION OF HUMAN HEMATOPOIETIC STEM CELLS' BLOOD,US,W.B. SAUNDERS, PHILADELPHIA, VA, vol. 92, no. 10, SUPPL. 01, 1998, p.57A ISSN: 0006-4971
- D3: WO 99 15629 A (CYTOMATRIX) 1 April 1999 (1999-04-01)
- D5: BANU N ET AL: 'Targeted differentiation of CD34+ progenitors in a three-dimensional matrix.' BLOOD, vol. 94, no. 10 SUPPL. 1 PART 2, 15 November 1999 (1999-11-15), p.162b Forty-first Annual Meeting of the American Society of Hematology; New Orleans, Louisiana, USA; December 3-7, 1999 ISSN: 0006-4971
- D6: BANU N ET AL: 'Neuronal, mesenchymal and hematopoietic cell derived from CD34-Lin- cell from adult bone marrow.' EXPERIMENTAL HEMATOLOGY (CHARLOTTESVILLE), vol.28, no.7 Supplement 1, July 2000 (2000-07), p.46-7, 29th Annual Meeting of the International Society for Experimental Hematology; Tampa, Florida, USA; July 08-11, 2000 ISSN: 0301-472X
- D7: WO 99 64565 A (OSIRIS THERAPEUTICS) 16 December 1999 (1999-12-16)
- D8: WO 00 17326 A (MUSC FOUNDATION FOR RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT) 30 March 2000 (2000-03-30)

2. Re Item II

Priority

Since the priority document was not available at the time of examination, the priority could not be checked. Thus, for the time being intermediate documents D5-D8 will not be considered as being part of the prior art as defined in the regulations (Rule 64(1)-(3) PCT). The applicant should however bear in mind that if the priority turned out not to be valid for the subject-matter of all claims, said documents could become prejudicial to the novelty or inventiveness of said claims.

3. R It m V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 3.1. The claims are directed to a method for in vitro culture of hematopoietic progenitor cells to produce differentiated cells of non-hematopoietic lineage.
 - D1 describes the use of a three-dimensional, tantalum coated porous biomaterial for long term culture of hematopoietic progenitor cells. The data show that cells can be maintained in a multipotent state over a period of 6 weeks when no cytokines are added. Thus, D1 uses the same growth support as used in the application but solves a different problem as the applicants.

In D2 hematopoietic stem cells are grown on mesenchymal stem cells and shown to differentiate in several cell types such as megakaryocytes, osteoclasts. No differentiated cells of non-hematopoietic lineage are shown.

D3 also describes methods for the culture of hematopoietic cells. Here again, the methods are mainly designed to maintain the cells in a multipotent state. Since, none of the available prior art documents discloses the method of claim 1. claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-23 are novel (Art. 33(2) PCT).

- 3.2. Claims 1-23 lack an inventive step for the following reasons:
 - The problem solved in the application was to establish a method that allows the differentiation of hematopoietic progenitor cells into differentiated cells of nonhematopoietic lineage. Claim 1 recites the technical problem without giving any element of the solution and merely redefines the result to achieve, i.e. to establish the "conditions sufficient to produce differentiated cells of non-hematopoietic lineage". The teaching of D1-D3 shows that methods for in vitro culture of hematopoietic progenitor cells are known in the art. If the inventive step consists in establishing the right conditions in order to obtain differentiated cells of nonhematopoietic lineage, said conditions must be defined in the claims. Hence, since the mere recitation of the problem is not inventive, no inventive step can be seen for the subject-matter of claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-23 (Art. 33(3) PCT) (see also Re Item VIII).
- 3.3. The subject-matter of claims 1-21 is industrially applicable in the field of

pharmaceutical industry (Art. 33(4) PCT). Claims 22-23 however relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

4. Re Item VI

Certain documents cited (Rule 70.10)

Application No Patent No	Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)
WO 99 64565 A	16 Dec 1999	8 June 1999	8 June 1998
WO 00 17326 A	30 March 2000	21 Sept 1999	21 Sept 1998

5. Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

5.1. As mentioned above, claim 1 is unclear for the following reasons: the subject-matter claimed is defined in terms of the result to achieve, i.e. establishing the "conditions sufficient to produce differentiated cells of non-hematopoietic lineage" but no technical features are given to define said conditions. The essential features necessary for solving the technical problem need to be indicated in the claims (decision of the technical board of appeal T 409/91).

Moreover, the scope of the subject-matter of claim 1 is too broad and not fully supported by the description (Art. 6 PCT). Indeed, the description only teaches the obtention of certain types of cells and not of any non-hematopoietic cell. From the examples given, one cannot automatically make the assumption that any type of cell could be obtained starting from hematopoietic precursor cells.